## Appendix B

**LGPS Pools** 

	Pool	£bn
	Access	
	Borders & Coast	
	London	
	Lancs/LPFA	
八	Midlands	
× ~	Merseyside/Manchester/W Yorks	
	South West	
	Wales	
	Undeclared	
	Total	

## Current status of formative pools

Pool	Current est size	In-house mgt team	Features
London CIV	£24bn+	No	• Well advanced structure. Governance set up for London – could be extended. 30+ funds involved in governance
M62	£50-£60bn	Yes	<ul> <li>Dominated by some very large funds incl GMPF, Merseyside and West Yorkshire. Inhouse</li> </ul>
Central	£35-£40bn	Yes	<ul> <li>One large fund with in-house specialist resource &amp; a group of similar sized funds (roughly the G7 who collaborated on passive).</li> <li>Some currently not users of in-house management</li> </ul>
ACCESS	£30-£38bn	No	<ul> <li>Group of similar sized funds with common ground in terms of current approach – committed to a collaborative, structured, democratic and evidence based approach.</li> </ul>
South West	£20-£24bn	No	• Like-minded group used to working together (e.g. SW framework). Strong ESG focus
Border to Coast	£13-£17bn	Yes	• Geographically dispersed. Have some in-house specialist resource. Likely to rent CIV?
Lancs/ LPFA	£12-£16bn	Yes	<ul> <li>Two strong founding organisations. In-house specialists. No confirmed additional new joiners as yet?</li> </ul>
Wales	£15bn	No	• Currently planning a CIV. Fall short on size criterion. Will political considerations apply?

If government applies criteria strictly, not all will proceed?